## **2022 Annual Training Narrative Summary**

The 2022 NSBEAP annual training was held as a virtual event due to lingering concerns about COVID-19 and potential impacts on in-person attendance. The Nevada SBEAP hosted the event and agenda, coordinating for technical support and communications with the Pollution Prevention Institute, or PPI.

The event was hosted each Thursday from 1-4 p.m. in May 2022. The virtual format and topics featured attracted an excellent audience. Registration and participation numbers are listed below for each of the four days.

Date	Total registered	Total attendees
May 5, 2022	114	95
May 12, 2022	128	95
May 19, 2022	124	83
May 26, 2022	128	81

A total of 22 sessions were hosted over the four days, 19 of which were recorded and are linked to the agenda on the <u>annual training webpage</u>. This page also includes the bios of speakers. The page will remain in place until the draft 2023 agenda and conference content are ready to be posted. After that time the 2022 agenda will be archived with the other past annual training agendas.

During the wrap-up session on day one, day two and day three, a short four-question poll was offered to participants asking the same four questions each day. This allowed for comparison of the data. The raw data from the polls is below, but conclusions indicate 98% of participants said they learned something each of the days that they could apply to their job or program. The majority of participants also indicated the sessions were of appropriate length and they had enough time to ask questions. Most participants indicated they would be planning to attend the next session.

## Insert poll data here

		Total participants:								
	Day 1	56								
	I learned something today I can apply to my									
Question 1	job/program.	Yes	55	98%	No	1	2%			
					Just			Too		
Question 2	The length of the session were:	Too short	3	5%	right	50	89%	long	3	5%
Question 3	There was enough time for questions.	Yes	34	61%	No	22	39%			
Question 4	I plan to attend Day 2	Yes	51	91%	No	5	9%			
		Total								
		participants:								
	Day 2	50								
	I learned something today I can apply to my									
Question 1	job/program.	Yes	49	98%	No	1	2%			
			4	20/	Just	4.0	000/	Too		60/
Question 2	The length of the session were:	Too short	1	2%	right	46	92%	long	3	6%
Question 3	There was enough time for questions.	Yes	46	92%	No	4	8%			
Question 4	I plan to attend Day 3	Yes	45	90%	No	5	10%			
		Total								
		participants:								
	Day 3	50								
	I learned something today I can apply to my									
Question 1	job/program.	Yes	49	98%	No	1	2%	_		
Question 2	The length of the session were:	Too short	50	0%	Just right	46	92%	Too long	4	8%
Question 3	There was enough time for questions.	Yes	46	92%	No	4	8%	10118	7	0,0
Question 4	I plan to attend Day 4	Yes	49	98%	No	1	2%			
Question 4	i pian to attenu day 4	162	49	90%	INU	1	270	ĺ		

On day four, all registrants were sent a link to an online evaluation form. The data related to each of the questions and responses is attached to this report. In summary the data indicated:

- The majority of participants were part of an SBEAP or SBO program.
- 68% ranked the overall content of the training as excellent.
- 65% ranked the importance of this information to their profession as extremely important.
- 57% indicated they were somewhat likely to make changes in their programs as a result of what they learned.
- When asked what changes programs would make, the responses varied and are detailed in the attachment. When asked what they found most helpful about the training, participants indicated:
  - o Communicating with their fellow SBEAPs.
  - o Hearing from the EPA.
  - o A better understanding of the resources that are available to them, including the national espy resources.
- On a scale from 1 to 5 ranking the overall management of the event, specific to registration moderation, communication and logistics, participants overwhelmingly ranked the overall management as "excellent."
- 73% of the respondents indicated they liked the schedule format of four weekly sessions.
- When asked how the training could be improved, a variety of comments are listed in the attachment, but common themes included:
  - o Being in person.
  - o Longer breaks.

A total of 51 session evaluations were returned and the PDF summary of responses is included as an attachment and posted to the annual training page and <u>planning subcommittee page</u>.