

**2007 SBO/SBEAP National Conference  
St. Paul, Minnesota • June 18<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup>, 2007**

**CONFERENCE EVALUATION**

*Please complete this evaluation at the end of the conference and turn it in when you leave either at the Registration Table or with any member of the Planning Committee or PRIZIM staff.*

Please rate the following aspects of the 2007 SBO/SBEAP National Conference:

(1 = Excellent, 2 = Good, 3 = Fair, 4 = Poor, 5 = No Response)

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Overall Content<br>Comments:        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2. Overall Format<br>Comments:         | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. General Sessions<br>Comments:       | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4. Conference Materials<br>Comments:   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. Facilities<br>Comments:             | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6. After-hours activities<br>Comments: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

7. What was the most useful portion of the 2007 SBO/SBEAP National Conference? What aspects of this year's conference should be continued in the future?

8. What was the least useful portion of the 2007 SBO/SBEAP National Conference and what suggestions do you have for improving it?

9. How could the General Sessions in particular be improved?

10. What did you like about the General Sessions? What aspects of these sessions should be continued in the future?

11. This year we implemented greening initiatives for the conference. How would you rate these initiatives? (1 = Excellent, 2 = Good, 3 = Fair, 4 = Poor, 5 = No Response)

1      2      3      4      5

12. How can we improve on the greening initiatives undertaken this year? Do you have any suggestions on how to green the conference further?

13. What topics would you suggest for the 2008 SBO/SBEAP National Conference?

14. List any improvement or changes that we should consider for the 2008 Conference.

15. Provide any additional comments or suggestions.